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#### Abstract

Bedding on the limbs of early-formed regional folds generally lies oblique to the major directions of bulk shortening in the crust, that is, the horizontal and vertical. During subsequent deformations, matrix foliations on at least one limb of these folds may start to form but then be destroyed by reactivation of the bedding causing decrenulation and rotation into parallelism with the compositional layering. Consequently, the schistosity parallel to bedding $\left(\mathrm{S}_{0} / / \mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ in multiply deformed rocks contains the relics of many deformation events, and the two or three oblique foliations record only the very youngest history. This lengthy early history is preserved as inclusion trails within porphyroblasts. Recycling of foliations depends on the shear sense acting on any newly developing foliation and the orientation of this foliation relative to $\mathrm{S}_{0} / / \mathrm{S}_{1}$. For some orientations and combinations of shear senses, both limbs of a pre-existing fold can be reactivated from the commencement of a new deformation event. This can result in the decrenulation and obliteration of a new foliation that is beginning to form before it shows any significant degree of development. For other combinations, one limb of a pre-existing fold will behave in this manner whereas a new foliation does develop fully on the other limb. However, subsequent phases of deformation switch which limb shears vs. develops an oblique new cleavage rotating the earlier formed oblique foliation into parallelism with $\mathrm{S}_{0} / / \mathrm{S}_{1}$. © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Unravelling the history of complexly deformed and metamorphosed terrains requires determination of the relationship between large-scale folds and multiple foliations that have developed through successive deformations. Typically this is achieved by establishing overprinting between successive foliations, and the analysis of the geometric relationships between these foliations and the macro scale folds. However, in highly deformed terrains, where the dominant foliation is sub parallel to compositional layering ( $\mathrm{S}_{0} / / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ ), correlating foliations is commonly problematic. Reactivation of the bedding or compositional layering tends to destroy newly developing foliations and rotate earlier formed ones that are still oblique to $\mathrm{S}_{0} / / \mathrm{S}_{1}$ into parallelism with it (Bell, 1986). Preservation of relics of earlier foliations in rocks where the younger deformation has not been strongly partitioned, or in the strain shadows of large competent bodies, such as granites,

[^0]or small scale ones, such as porphyroblasts, makes successful correlation of foliations difficult from outcrop to outcrop. Geometric analysis from field mapping of such areas typically is insufficient, as further detailed microstructural analysis of the inclusion trails within porphyroblasts, where they are available, generally reveals more complex histories than inferred from matrix relationships alone (Bell et al., 2003).

Inclusion trail geometries within porphyroblasts can be used to establish the relative timing of foliations relative to one another and larger scale folds. A decade of quantitative work has shown that inclusion trails have sigmoidal, staircase and spiral geometries that form around one or more foliation inflexion/intersection axes in porphyroblasts (FIAs) in a single sample (e.g. Bell et al., 1998), but their asymmetry does not necessarily switch in any classic manner across fold hinges (Bell et al., 2003). Indeed, it has become apparent that geometrically simple macroscopic folds commonly show a very complex microscopic history of development (AdsheadBell and Bell, 1999; Hickey and Bell, 2001; Bell et al., 2003). Inherent to foliation-fold relationships is the manner in which strain accumulates during deformation and, in particular, what
fold mechanism(s) has operated. There are two contrasting kinematic models for how this occurs:

1. During flexural folding, non-coaxial deformation is accommodated by shear on folded layers, with little or no shear on differentiated axial plane crenulation cleavages. Bulk shortening and fine scale buckling (Fig. 1a-d) causes crenulation of the earlier cleavage $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ followed by the development of a fold (Fig. 1e; Gray, 1979; Twiss and Moores, 1992). The clockwise (CW) buckling rotation of the right limb in Fig. 1c and e is accommodated by anticlockwise (ACW) flexural slip on the foliation being folded (Fig. 1d and f). These processes compete in their effect on the orientation of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ as they rotate it opposite directions, generally to little effect, and a long and short limb develop (Fig. 1d and f). Dissolution and solution transfer, generally of the long limb of these fine scale crenulations occurs (the limb closest in orientation to the axial plane), developing a differentiated crenulation cleavage $S_{n+1}$, with the shear shown in Fig. If and $h$ being apparent rather than real. Any actual synthetic shear ( CW in Fig. 1f and $h$ ) along $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ develops late during folding.
2. During folding due to progressive bulk inhomogeneous shortening the deformation partitions into zones of


Fig. 1. Model of crenulation cleavage $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$ development during folding by buckling. In this example fine-scale crenulations of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (subparallel to $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ) form by buckling due to bulk shortening ((a)-(c)), cleavage development $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$ occurs through 'pressure solution' of long limbs ((f) and (h)), and the geometry of the cleavage orientation is a function of the competition between buckling rotation of the fold limbs $\mathrm{S}_{0}((\mathrm{c})$ and (e)) vs. shear on the folded foliation by flexural flow ((f) and (h)). Any shear on the cleavage $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}((\mathrm{f})$ and (h)) only occurs late in the development of the fold.


Fig. 2. Model of folding by progressive bulk inhomogeneous shortening after Bell (1981). The fold develops by partitioning of the deformation into zones of progressive shearing that (a) anastomose around zones of progressive shortening, and (b) sub-parallel to the axial plane and orthogonal to the direction of bulk shortening. The zones of progressive shearing (b) become differentiated crenulation cleavage seams $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$ through shear strain controlled dissolution (Bell and Hayward, 1991). With continued shortening or, if a fold limb $\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}\right)$ is already present, reactivation of the fold limb occurs ((a) and (c)). Layer parallel shear during reactivation is antithetic relative to shear on the newly developing cleavage $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right.$; (a) and (c)) and the deformation can switch backwards and forwards from axial plane shear (along $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ ) to limb parallel shear (along $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ) at any scale along the one limb (a). Reactivation results in decrenulation and rotation of the crenulated cleavage $S_{n}$ into parallelism with compositional layering $S_{0}$ on the fold limb (d).
progressive shortening and shearing (Fig. 2a and b; Bell, 1981). The zones of progressive shearing become crenulation cleavage seams $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$ and the zones of progressive shortening become crenulation hinges as shown in Fig. 2b (Bell and Hickey, 1997; Bell et al., 2003). Non-coaxial synthetic progressive shearing (ACW in Fig. 2b) is partitioned in an anastomosing manner around zones of near coaxial progressive
shortening lying sub-parallel to the axial plane (Fig. 2b). As the fold limbs develop (Fig. 2a), deformation is commonly also partitioned into portions of the folded layers with an antithetic shear sense for the non-coaxial component of this deformation relative to the bulk rotation of the developing fold limb with respect to the axial plane (CW in Fig. 2c). This process, called reactivation, decrenulates newly formed crenulations of $S_{n}$ (Fig. 2d) and may account for much of the progressive strain during deformation (Bell, 1986).

The significant difference between these two kinetic mechanisms is that during reactivation, at any scale along the fold limb, antithetic shear along the layering can stop and switch to synthetic shear parallel to the axial plane. This is not possible for flexural flow folding. The principal is shown at one scale in Fig. 2a (e.g. Bell et al., 2003). Detailed microstructural analysis of porphyroblasts using foliation inflexion/intersection lineations preserved within porphyroblasts (FIAs) and the overprinting asymmetry of inclusion and matrix foliations, should enable resolution of which of these mechanisms has occurred. We demonstrate this using rocks from the Appalachians in Vermont, where matrix foliations and bedding are sub-parallel and a famous series of domes are present. The Pomfret dome, lying north of the classic Chester dome (Fig. 3), provides an excellent area to study the relationship between foliations found within porphyroblasts, the matrix and macroscale folds. Microstructural evidence from porphyroblasts and the matrix reveals that the dome experienced a prolonged history of deformation, forming as an upright fold that predated porphyroblast growth, with strain accumulating progressively over many different events and deformation mainly being accommodated by foliation reactivation (e.g. Fig. 2a, c and d).


Fig. 3. Simplified geological map of central eastern Vermont (after Doll et al., 1961).

## 2. Geological setting

The Pomfret dome lies along the Chester-Strafford line of domes (Fig. 3; Osberg et al., 1989). The rocks affected by this dome are the mixed pelitic and quartzose Gile Mountain Formation and the underlying calcareous Waits River Formation. These rocks are potentially Silurian-Early Devonian in age (Hueber et al., 1990; Armstrong et al., 1997) and were deposited in the Connecticut Valley trough, an elongate, post-Taconic basin in which rapid and complex subsidence was localized during the Silurian, but affected the entire belt during the early Devonian (Bradley, 1983). Closure of the Connecticut Valley trough occurred during the Acadian orogeny (Bradley, 1983; Armstrong et al., 1992). Lyons (1955), the only person to have done detailed work previously around the Pomfret dome, believed that it formed late in the Acadian, and proposed that after initial upright folding, doming resulted from up-welling of an intrusive body that has not been exposed.

## 3. Mesoscopic to microscopic structure

$S_{1}$ has only been observed as inclusion trails in porphyroblasts. Intense matrix cleavage development $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, tight to isoclinal folding of compositional layering, and the lack of younging indicators, made it difficult to trace bedding ( $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ) across many outcrops. $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ is sub-parallel to $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
$S_{2}$ is an intense bedding-parallel foliation that is folded around $D_{3}$ folds (Fig. 4a) but has only been seen mescoscopically at location 79 (Figs. 4a and 5), where a $D_{3}$ fold of $S_{2}$ has been refolded by a $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ fold, and at Location 149 ( 2.5 km east of the map edge in Fig. 5 along Route 14) where interbedded psammites and quartzites preserve $S_{2}$ to $\mathrm{S}_{5} . \mathrm{S}_{2}$ is seen rarely (Fig. 4c) in porphyroblast strain shadows and is generally parallel to $S_{0}$. No $D_{2}$ folds of $S_{0}$ were found.

Rare near isoclinal $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ folds with upright axial planes have been asymmetrically refolded by $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ (Fig. 4a). $\mathrm{S}_{3}$, a penetrative differentiated foliation, is crenulated or rotated by $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ and generally preserved as a bedding-parallel foliation. In areas of low $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ strain adjacent to porphyroblasts, $S_{3}$ has a sub-vertical orientation and $S_{2}$ is locally preserved at a high angle to it (Fig. 4c).
$\mathrm{D}_{4}$ produced folds that are typically tight to isoclinal, but generally only preserved in some layers (Fig. 4). Shallowly plunging $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ fold axes in the centre of the dome steepen towards its margins (Fig. 5c). Large ( 10 m ) $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ folds (sample locality AV32, Fig. 5a) near the dome hinge are generally symmetric, with smaller parasitic folds on their limbs. $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ is a differentiated crenulation cleavage heterogeneously developed to stages 3,4 and, locally, 6 of Bell and Rubenach's (1983) classification. S $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ dips gently and is sub-horizontal adjacent to porphyroblasts or in zones of low $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ strain (Figs. 5a and 6b). With increasing $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ intensity away from the dome hinge, a composite


Fig. 4. (a) Early upright $D_{3}$ fold of $S_{0} / / S_{2}$ refolded during $D_{4}$ (location 79; Fig. 5). $S_{3}$, rotated from a steep to gently dipping with an overall ACW asymmetry during $D_{4}$, occurs as a fully differentiated and penetrative fabric that is preserved parallel to $S_{0}$ after the effects of $D_{4}$ and $D_{5}$. (b) Isoclinal $D_{4}$ fold (road outcrop, location $149,0.9 \mathrm{~km}$ west of Hartford on Route 14). $D_{5}$ heterogeneously overprints $D_{4}$ with an east side up differentiation asymmetry rotating the $S_{4}$ axial plane to a steep inclination. In the upper right corner $S_{3}$ overprints $S_{2}$. Plan view looking SSW. $D_{4}$ fold plunges $58^{\circ} \rightarrow 012^{\circ}$. (c) $S_{2}$, preserved adjacent to a garnet porphyroblast on east limb of the Pomfret dome, is sub-horizontal in the microlithons of a steep differentiated $\mathrm{S}_{3}$. Sub-horizontal foliation $\mathrm{S}_{4}$, crenulates and rotates $S_{3}$ and unfolds $S_{2}$. Away from the porphyroblast, reactivation of $S_{3}$ destroys remains of $S_{2}$ and forms a strong foliation $S_{m}$ parallel to $S_{0}$. Vertical thin section. Single barbed arrow indicates way up and strike. Sample AV138.
foliation called $S_{m}$, due to the effects of $D_{2}-D_{4}$, lies parallel to $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ (Figs. 4, 5 and 6 c and d). $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the foliation mapped by White and Jahns (1950) and Lyons (1955) as being domed with $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ (Fig. 5).
$\mathrm{D}_{5}$ folds and crenulations with steeply dipping, NNEstriking, axial planes (Figs. 4 and 5) progressively rotate and tighten $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ folds outward from the dome core (Fig. 5) until $S_{4}$ cannot be distinguished from $S_{5} . S_{5}$ is most intensely developed adjacent to heterogeneities, and locally forms a differentiated crenulation cleavage (Fig. 6), but is poorly developed in the dome core. The $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ crenulation asymmetry switches from ACW to CW from the west to east side of the dome (Fig. 7). $L_{4,5}^{0}$ intersection lineations plunge N to NE at the north end of the dome and S to SW at the south end (Fig. 5c and d). $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ superficially appears to be the event that formed the dome.
$\mathrm{D}_{6}$ folds of both bedding and $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ have axial planes that are sub-horizontal, but this event only forms very locally. Microscopically, $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ occurs as a stage 2 crenulation or local kinks of $\mathrm{S}_{5} . \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$ occurs as a schistosity parallel to compositional layering. This foliation appears to have resulted from the cumulative effects of a number of deformations. Only where $S_{4}$ was measured in $D_{5}$ low strain zones is it referred to on the map as $S_{4}$ (Fig. 5b).

## 4. Foliations preserved within porphyroblasts

### 4.1. Foliation intersection/inflection axes (FIAs) and their determination

FIAs are measured for a sample, independent of assumptions concerning timing inclusion trails relative to matrix structures and whether or not the porphyroblasts have rotated, using geographic coordinates and the vertical as a reference frame (Hayward, 1990; Bell et al., 1995). Up to 18 vertical thin sections striking every $10^{\circ}$ from 0 to $170^{\circ}$ were cut from each spatially oriented sample (Table 1). The FIA trend is located where the asymmetry of inclusion trails switches between successive vertical sections around the compass when viewed in one direction (e.g. Bell et al., 1995, 1998, 2003). The inclusion trails in most samples collected around the Pomfret dome are truncated by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (Fig. 8). However, in some they are continuous with the matrix foliation (Fig. 9).

### 4.2. FIA analysis of garnet porphyroblasts within and around Pomfret dome

Sixty-seven FIAs were measured from garnet porphyroblasts within 41 spatially oriented samples (Table 1; Fig. 10).


Fig. 5. (a) Simplified geological map of the Pomfret dome area showing $S_{m}$ (bedding parallel foliation representing a composite foliation resulting from the effects of at least $D_{3}, D_{4}$ and $D_{5}$ ), and $S_{4}$ together with the sample localities used for this study. $S_{m}$ dips in a radial fashion from the dome core. Locations 79 and $149\left(2.5 \mathrm{~km}\right.$ east of map edge along Route 14) are sites where rare mesoscopic $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ folds are preserved (see Fig. 4). (b) Structural map showing $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ and their trend surfaces. $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ has an overall NW trend and $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ maintains a consistent N-NNE trend. (c) Structural map showing intersection lineations $L_{4}^{0, \mathrm{~m}}$ and $L_{4}^{3}$. (d) Structural map showing intersection lineations $L_{5}^{0, \mathrm{~m}}$ and $L_{5}^{4}$. Note the general switch in plunge from north to south of the dome for both $L_{4}^{0, \mathrm{~m}}$ and $L_{5}^{0, \mathrm{~m}}$.


Fig. 6. ((a) and (b)) Vein subparallel to $S_{3}$, cut by $S_{4}$ and overprinted by $S_{5}$. The original sub-vertical and sub-horizontal nature of $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ are preserved. Shear sense on $S_{4}$ is top to the east. $S_{5}$, obvious in the $P$ domains of $S_{4}$ (see e,f), pitches steeply east. Shear sense of $S_{5}$ on $S_{4}$ is east side up. Sample AV132. Plane polarized light. ((c) and (d)) Heterogeneous, weakly developed sub-vertical $S_{5}$ overprints sub-horizontal $S_{4}$ on the west limb of the Pomfret dome. $S_{4}$ crenulates $\mathrm{S}_{3}$, which is sub-vertical adjacent to kyanite ( Ky ) and staurolite porphyroblasts ( St ). Away from the porphyroblasts, $\mathrm{S}_{4}$, reactivated during $\mathrm{D}_{5}$, has decrenulated and unfolded $D_{5}$ crenulations. Reactivated foliations labelled $S_{r, m}$ and the sense of shear on the reactivated layer is antithetic to shear on $\mathrm{S}_{5}$. $\mathrm{S}_{0} / / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$. Sample AV30. ((e) and (f)) Detail of (a) reveal asymmetry of $S_{4}$ on $S_{3}$ and $S_{5}$ on $S_{4}$. All sections vertical with single barb showing way up. Plane polarized light.


Fig. 7. Map of the asymmetry of successive matrix foliations represented as skeletal patterns, drawn as cross-sections looking north in west-east striking vertical thin sections (i.e. orthogonal to the regional strike of $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ ). A distinct staircase geometry dominates both limbs of the Pomfret dome with the $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ crenulation asymmetry switching from CW (i.e. west side up) looking north on the eastern side of the dome, to ACW (i.e. east side up) on the western side of the dome. On the eastern limb of the dome, the asymmetry of $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ on $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ is dominated by ACW (i.e. top to the west shear) and CW (i.e. top to the east shear) on the western limb.

Sixteen samples contain a different FIA in the core vs. the rim, and five contain a different FIA in the core vs. the median vs. the rim (Tables 1 and 2). Some of the FIAs determined were from crenulations incorporated during the development of a younger crenulation event at the time of garnet growth (called pre-porphyroblast crenulation FIAs; Table 1). Six FIAs were measured using kyanite and staurolite porphyroblasts (Table 1). The total plot of garnet FIAs shows five clusters of trends oriented NW -SE, NE-SW, E-W, NNW SSE, and NNE-SSW (Fig. 10a). The same five clusters of trends are also visible in the multiple FIA plots (Fig. 10b). The rose plot of single FIAs shows only four of these maxima (Fig. 10c). The NNW-SSE one is missing.

### 4.3. Relative timing from multi-FIA samples

A FIA trend determined from the core of the porphyroblast must be older than a FIA from the rim for the same
sample (Table 2; Fig. 8). If a consistent succession of trends relative to timing is present, a paragenesis of trends for multiple FIA samples can be determined (e.g. Bell et al., 1998). The following relationships were observed from sample to sample in garnet porphyroblasts:

1. NW-SE-trending FIAs in cores, succeeded by SW-NE, W-E, NNW-SSE or SSW-NNE FIAs in the medians or rims (Table 2). Where the only FIA present is NW-SE, the inclusion trails are truncated by the matrix foliation (Table 2).
2. SW-NE-trending FIAs in cores succeeded by W-E or NNW-SSE FIAs in the rims (Table 2). Sample AV17b contains a NW-SE core FIA, a SW-NE rim FIA in garnet and a SSW-NNE FIA in staurolite and kyanite porphyroblasts (Table 2). Sample AV13 contains a FIAtrending SW-NE in garnet but younger staurolite porphyroblasts contain a FIA-trending SSW-NNE.

$$
\text { determination, and horizontal }(\mathrm{Hz}) \text { thin sections used. } \mathrm{Gm}=\text { Gile Mountain Formation; } \mathrm{Wr}=\text { Waits River formation }
$$



Table 2
Shows relative succession of FIAs for samples containing more than one FIA. Separated according to which FIA trend came first and east vs. west limbs of the Pomfret dome

| Sample | Garnet FIA trend |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PPC | Core | Median | Rim |
| East limb |  |  |  |  |
| AV2 |  | 120 |  | 85 |
| AV5a |  | 135 | 55 | 175 |
| AV5b |  | 135 | 45 | 175 |
| AV12 |  | 140 |  | 30 |
| AV15 |  | 125 | 45 | 85 |
| AV16b |  | 120 |  | 165 |
| AV17b |  | 130 | 60 | 55 |
| AV18 |  | 135 |  | 95 |
| West limb |  |  |  |  |
| AV30 | 135 |  |  | 155 |
| AV36c |  | 120 |  | 15 |
| AV36v |  | 125 |  | 75 |
| AV36z |  | 125 |  | 80 |
| East Limb |  |  |  |  |
| AV13 |  | 50 |  | 55 |
| AV19 |  | 55 |  | 90 |
| AV20 |  | 60 |  | 150 |
| West Limb |  |  |  |  |
| AV22 |  | 50 | 160 | 165 |
| AV23 |  | 45 |  | 160 |
| AV31 | 45 |  |  | 95 |
| East Limb |  |  |  |  |
| AV11 |  | 100 |  | 25 |
| West Limb |  |  |  |  |
| AV4 |  | 100 |  | 15 |
| AV33 |  | 85 |  | 155 |

3. W-E-trending FIAs in cores succeeded by NNW-SSE or SSW-NNE FIAs in the rims (Table 2) or truncated by the matrix foliations.
4. SSW-NNE-trending FIAs (Tables 1 and 2) contain inclusion trails that are generally continuous with the matrix foliation.

The succession of FIAs suggested by this data is NW SE, SW-NE, W-E, NNW-SSE, SSW-NNE. The youngest of these FIA sets trending SSW-NNE is the only one preserved within staurolite and kyanite porphyroblasts, which contain inclusions trails where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is continuous with $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 9c and d). Plagioclase and biotite (Fig. 9b) overgrow the matrix foliations and contain the intersection lineations $L_{5}^{0}$ and $L_{5}^{4}$ described above (Table 3).

## 5. Foliation asymmetry

### 5.1. Matrix foliation differentiation asymmetry

The differentiation asymmetry (Bell et al., 2003) or

Table 3
Shows FIAs divided according to the succession of sets determined from the multi-FIA data shown in Table 2 and described in the text

| Sample | Fmn | FIA sets-garnet |  |  |  |  | St Ky <br> Set 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Set 0 | Set 1 | Set 2 | Set 3 | Set 4 |  |  |
| East limb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV2 | Gm | 120 |  | 85 |  |  |  |  |
| AV5a | Gm | 135 | 55 |  | 175 |  |  |  |
| AV5b | Gm | 135 | 45 |  | 175 |  | 10 |  |
| AV7 | Gm |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV8 | Gm |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV11 | Gm |  |  | 100 |  | 25 |  |  |
| AV12 | Gm | 140 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV13 | Gm |  | $50+55$ |  |  |  | 25 |  |
| AV14 | Gm |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV15 | Gm | 125 | 45 | 85 |  |  |  |  |
| AV16b | Gm | 120 |  |  | 165 |  |  |  |
| AV17b | Gm | 130 | $60+55$ |  |  |  | 15 | 15 |
| AV18 | Gm | 135 |  | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| AV19 | Gm |  | 55 | 90 |  |  |  |  |
| AV20 | Gm |  | 60 |  | 150 |  |  |  |
| AV32b | Wr |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| AV138 | Gm |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |
| AV139 | Gm | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West limb |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV3 | Gm |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |
| AV4 | Gm |  |  | 100 |  | 15 |  |  |
| AV21 | Gm |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV22 | Gm |  | 50 |  | $160+165$ |  |  |  |
| AV23 | Gm |  | 45 |  | 160 |  |  |  |
| AV24 | Gm | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV25 | Gm | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV26a | Gm |  |  | 85 |  |  |  |  |
| AV27 | Gm |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |
| AV29 | Gm |  | $40^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV30 | Gm | 135 |  |  | 155 |  |  | 10 |
| AV31 | Gm |  | 45 | 95 |  |  |  | 15 |
| AV33 | Gm |  |  | 85 | 155 |  |  |  |
| AV34 | Gm | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV35 | Gm | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV36c | Wr ? | 120 |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |
| AV36v | Wr? | 125 |  | 75 |  |  |  |  |
| AV36z | Wr? | 125 |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |
| AV38 | Gm | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV39 | Gm | 135 |  |  |  |  | 20 | 20 |
| AV40 | Gm | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AV41 | Gm |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |
| AV43 | Gm |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average FIA |  | 130 | 48 | 90 | 162 | 18 | 16 | 15 |

curvature of a crenulated cleavage into a differentiated crenulation cleavage seam, was determined looking north in W-E striking vertical thin sections. The asymmetry of $S_{4}$ into $S_{5}$ is CW on the east limb of the dome and ACW on the west $\operatorname{limb}$ (Figs. 4c, 7 and 8). The asymmetry of $S_{3}$ into $S_{4}$ is ACW on the east limb of the dome and CW on the west limb (Figs. 6a, b, e and fand 7). $S_{2}$ is too rarely preserved in the matrix to determine reliable asymmetries of $S_{2}$ into $S_{3}$. However, $S_{2}, S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ are preserved in porphyroblasts where the trails are continuous with the matrix foliation and define the SSW-NNE-trending FIA set 4.


Fig. 8. Asymmetry method to determine the FIA in sample AV19 from the east limb of the Pomfret dome. ((a) and (b)) Garnet porphyroblast with ACW shaped spiral inclusion trail—vertical section striking $000^{\circ}$. ((c) and (d)) Garnet porphyroblasts with opposite asymmetries in the core but ACW asymmetries in the rim—vertical section striking $060^{\circ}$. ((e) and (f)) Garnet porphyroblast with CW trails in the core and ACW ones in the rim—vertical section striking $080^{\circ}$. ((g) and (h)) Garnet porphyroblasts with CW asymmetries in the core and the rim—vertical section striking $090^{\circ}$. (i) and (j)) Garnet porphyroblast with CW asymmetry in the core and rim—vertical section striking $120^{\circ}$. Core FIA at $060^{\circ}$. Rim FIA at $085^{\circ}$. N.B. c-j look approximately south rather than north.


Fig. 9. (a) Garnet porphyroblasts with the trails continuous with the matrix foliation. Garnet grew during $\mathrm{D}_{5}$. $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ between the porphyroblasts is a steeply pitching differentiated crenulation cleavage. Sample AV27, west limb of the Pomfret dome. (b) Biotite porphyroblasts with trails continuous with the matrix foliation. Biotite grew early in $\mathrm{D}_{5}$. Sample AV36c, west limb of the Pomfret dome. Vertical thin section. ((c) and (d)) Kyanite porphyroblasts in sample AV39, west limb of the Pomfret dome. Kyanite grew in both $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{5}$. Other porphyroblasts grew only syn- $\mathrm{D}_{5}$. Reactivation during $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ parallel to $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ unfolded $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ crenulations of $S_{3}$ (e.g. Bell, 1986) and locally rotated $S_{3}$ towards parallelism with $S_{5} . S_{5}$ is in turn rotated towards parallelism with $S_{4}$ by reactivation of $S_{4}$. All thin sections are vertical, the light is plane polarised and the single barbed arrow indicates way up and strike.


Fig. 10. (a) Plot of the total garnet FIA trends for the samples collected around the Pomfret dome. Inner plot is a true area rose diagram (radii $=$ square root of frequency). Outer plot shows raw FIA trends of the single FIA samples and the core, median and rim FIAs from multi-FIA samples. (b) True area rose plot of the total Multi-FIAs for the Pomfret dome. Five distinct maxima are clearly seen oriented NW-SE, NE-SW, E-W, NNW -SSE and NNE-SSW. Single FIA data plotted in (c) show only four maxima, NW-SE, NE-SW, E-W and NNE-SSW.

### 5.2. Foliation asymmetry preserved within porphyroblasts

The CW or ACW asymmetry of successive overprinted foliations within porphyroblasts directly reflects the differentiation asymmetry for each overprinting event (Bell et al., 2003). The asymmetries defining NW-SE-trending FIAs called set 0 (see below) were determined from vertical thin sections near orthogonal to this trend looking NW. Those for sets 1-4 were determined looking NE, E, NNW, and NNE, respectively. Fig. 11a shows a histogram in which each sample appears once depending on whether the asymmetries are $\mathrm{CW}, \mathrm{ACW}$ or both are represented, separated according to FIA set and dome limb. Fig. 11b shows histograms where only each flat to steep succession


Fig. 11. Histograms showing the inclusion trail asymmetries for the succession of five FIA sets recorded herein separated according to which limb of the Pomfret dome the samples lie on. (a) Shows total asymmetries recorded as CW or ACW on either limb. (b) Shows asymmetries for foliations shifting from flat to steep orientations. The asymmetries for FIA set 2 are shown looking east. (c) Shows the asymmetries for the W-Etrending FIA set 2 separated according to whether the sample lies in the northern half vs. the southern half of the dome to test for an initially W-Etrending regional fold geometry.
of overprinted foliations (shown on the fold inset) preserved within a sample is plotted separated according to FIA set and dome limb. Fig. 11c shows a histogram where each sample appears once according to whether the inclusion trail asymmetries are predominantly CW or ACW for FIA set 2. The data is separated based on whether the sample lies in the northern or southern half of the Pomfret dome across a W-E-trending axial plane to test whether this nearly circular but slightly $\mathrm{N}-$ S elongated dome formed originally as a slightly W-E elongated dome.

## 6. Comparison of FIA trends across the Pomfret dome

The rose diagram of total garnet FIAs (Fig. 10) contains five clusters of trends trending NW-SE, SW-NE, E-W, NNW-SSE and SSW-NNE. Separating this data into the west (Fig. 12a) and east (Fig. 12f) limbs (Table 2) shows the same five distinct clusters of FIA trends on each, although the relative proportions vary. Samples containing a sequence of FIAs from core to rim reveal a consistent succession of peaks from NW-SE, SW-NE, E-W, NNWSSE to SSW-NNE. Separating this FIA data into the west (Fig. 12d) and east (Fig. 12f) limbs, shows that the NNE-SSW-trending cluster peak is missing from the east limb although it is present in the single FIA data that is included in Fig. 12c. The five successive sets of FIAs are shown in plan view in Fig. 13 for all measured data.


Fig. 12. Series of rose diagrams showing the various FIAs on each limb of the Pomfret dome as well as the two limbs combined. Total garnet FIAs for the west limb (a), combined limbs (b) and east limb (c). Multi FIAs for the west limb (d), combined limbs (e) and east limb (f). Core FIAs for the west limb (g), combined limbs (h) and east limb (i).


Fig. 13. FIA trends for successive FIA sets in the Pomfret dome area. (a) Map and rose diagram of FIA set 0 trends for the samples collected around the Pomfret dome. (b) Map and rose diagram of FIA set 1 trends for the samples taken from the same area as (a). (c) Map and rose diagram of FIA set 2 trends for the samples taken from the same area as (a). (d) Map and rose diagram of FIA set 3 trends for the samples taken from the same area as (a). (e) Map and rose diagram of FIA set 4 trends for the samples taken from the same area as (a). Although FIA sets 1 and 4 and FIA sets 0 and 3 have similar trends, they have been separated and distinguished by relative timing established from core to rim relationships in multi-FIA samples. See text for discussion.

## 7. Interpretation

### 7.1. Succession of FIA sets-strong evidence for lack of porphyroblast rotation

The preservation of a consistent succession of five FIA sets across the Pomfret dome is strong evidence that the porphyroblasts did not rotate as they formed, or as the fold formed and was modified by younger deformations. Some samples from each FIA set contain spiral-shaped inclusion trails. Those defining FIA set 0 could have formed by rotation of the garnet porphyroblasts within the matrix foliation. However, those in subsequent sets could not because, as each set developed, it would have caused previously formed FIAs to rotate by the amounts suggested by the curvature of the inclusion trails. The progressive effects of this are shown in Fig. 14. FIA set 0 is rotated about FIA set 1 by up to $180^{\circ}$, the maximum curvature shown by these trails in sections cut at a high angle to the FIA (Fig. 14a). This distribution of FIA set 0 would then have been rotated by up to $270^{\circ}$, the maximum curvature shown by the inclusion trails defining FIA set 2 in sections cut at a high angle to the FIA (Fig. 14b). If FIA set 0 is then rotated about FIA set 3 (Fig. 14c), this would produce an enormous spread of FIA trends for FIA set 0 . Rotation of FIA set 1 around FIA sets 2 and 3 is shown in Fig. 14d and e. An enormous spread of these FIAs around the compass would result from the subsequent development of the porphyroblasts with inclusion trails defining FIA set 4 . This is not the case. The five FIA sets are tightly constrained and core median rim relationships give consistent relative timing for the development of each set. Therefore, the spiral shaped trails must have formed by overprinting of successive near orthogonal foliations rather than porphyroblast rotation within a shear zone or due to folding (e.g. Bell and Johnson, 1989).

### 7.2. Fold timing from matrix foliation asymmetry

Across the dome hinge, the change in differentiation asymmetry of $S_{4}$ into $S_{5}$ (Fig. 7) matches that for an axial plane crenulation cleavage that accompanied dome development (Fig. 15; Williams and Schoneveld, 1981). However, the switch in asymmetry of $S_{4}$ on $S_{3}$ from limb to limb (Fig. 7) indicates that the dome predated $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ (Fig. 15a and b) and, therefore, intensified but did not first develop during $\mathrm{D}_{5}$. In zones of low $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ strain, $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ has a sub-horizontal orientation and $S_{3}$ is commonly preserved with a subvertical orientation (Figs. 4, 6 and 9). The overall coaxial asymmetry associated with the development of $S_{4}$ relative to $S_{3}$ across the fold (Fig. 15b) suggests that the dome lay close to the core of the orogen with gravitational collapse centred on the dome axis (e.g. Fig. 15b; Bell and Johnson, 1989). This indicates the dome fold is at least as old as $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ (Fig. $15 a)$. Since $S_{2}$ is rarely preserved within the matrix we use below the inclusion trails within porphyroblasts to access


Fig. 14. Stereos showing the effects of rotating successive FIA sets around the mean trend of the next FIA in the succession. (a) Effects of rotating FIA set 0 about FIA set 1 by $180^{\circ}$ to produce the maximum curvature recorded by FIA set 1 inclusion trails. (b) Effects of further rotating FIA set 0 around FIA set 2 by $270^{\circ}$ to produce the maximum curvature recorded by FIA set 2 inclusion trails. (c) Effects of rotating FIA set 0 around FIA set 3 by $180^{\circ}$ to produce the maximum curvature recorded by FIA set 3 inclusion trails. The spread of FIA set 0 data across the stereo net is complete without including the effects of rotation during the development of FIA set 4. ((d) and (e)) Effects of similar rotations on FIA set 1 due to the development of FIA sets 2 and 3. (f) Effects of just rotating previously unrotated FIA sets $0-2$ about FIA set 3.
the overprinting asymmetries of this and earlier formed foliations.

### 7.3. Fold timing from foliation asymmetry preserved in porphyroblasts

Inclusion trails trapped within porphyroblasts preserve the differentiation asymmetries for the succession of foliations that they represent (Bell et al., 2003). The inclusion trail asymmetry preserved in each sample, and


Fig. 15. (a) $S_{3}$ unaffected by $D_{4}$ and $D_{5}$ maintains a sub-vertical geometry, implying upright coaxial folding during $D_{3}$ by horizontally directed compression. (b) The overprinting effects of $D_{4}$ on $S_{3}$ switch asymmetry across the dome indicating predominantly coaxial deformation. (c) $S_{5}$ is weakly and heterogeneously but coaxially developed at the dome scale. (d) Reactivation of $S_{0}$ during $D_{4}$ decrenulates $S_{3}$, and rotates $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$ into parallelism with $S_{0}$. The mirror image of this geometry occurs on the left side of the fold. (e) During $D_{5}, S_{4}$ is rotated further towards $S_{0}$, and subsequently reactivation of $S_{4}$ results in the decrenulation of $S_{5}$, which rotates $S_{5}$ parallel to $S_{0}$. Shear on $S_{5}$ differentiated cleavage will decrenulate $S_{4}$ and reuses $S_{3}$ as an axial plane foliation. The mirror image of this geometry occurs on the left side of the fold.
separated according to FIA set and limb on the Pomfret dome, shows both asymmetries are present on both limbs in roughly equal amounts (Fig. 11a). An upright fold should show predominantly ACW asymmetries for flat to steep foliation successions on the west limb and CW ones on the east limb as shown in the fold inset in Fig. 11b (Bell and Johnson, 1989). The histograms in Fig. 11b show these asymmetries plotted according to FIA set and whether the sample lies on the east or west limb. FIA set 0 has more CW
asymmetries on the west limb and more ACW asymmetries on the east limb, the opposite to that expected for porphyroblasts, which grew syn folding. This may indicate that the fold was present before FIA set 0 began to develop. Bell et al. (2003) showed that porphyroblasts preferentially grow on the limbs of early-formed regional folds where the limb dip vs. the shear sense operating on a newly developing foliation is such that the bedding cannot be reactivated. This relationship is shown on the left limb of the antiform in

Fig. 16a. Porphyroblasts preferentially grow on this limb because all layers are affected by the developing crenulations (Fig. 16a-c) providing sites for porphyroblast growth wherever the bulk composition is suitable at those $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{T}$ conditions. However, the right limb can reactivate from the commencement of deformation (Fig. 16d), and some layers with suitable bulk compositions never crenulate, providing fewer sites for porphyroblast growth (Fig. 16d and e; Bell et al., 2003). For both limbs of the Pomfret dome to be dominated by the opposite asymmetries to that shown in the fold inset in Fig. 11b, the shear sense operating on developing vertical foliations would have to switch across the dome hinge in the opposite manner to that which occurred when the fold formed.

FIA set 1 shows a dominance of ACW asymmetries on both limbs. FIA set 2 (Fig. 11b) trends perpendicular to the axial plane, and has no genetic relevance to fold development unless the dome originally formed as an $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ trending fold that was subsequently shortened into a $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ trending shape. To test this we plotted the asymmetries from the north to the south half of the dome across an $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ axial plane but the relationship obtained is the inverse to that expected (Fig. 11c). FIA sets 3 and 4 have more ACW asymmetries on the west limb and more CW asymmetries on the east limb (Fig. 11b). The fold could have first formed during the development of either of these FIA sets rather than prior to FIA set 0 . We suggest this was not the case for four reasons. (1) The distribution of asymmetries for FIA set 0 suggest that a fold was present prior to the development of the earliest FIA set (e.g. Bell et al., 2003). (2) FIA sets 3 and 4 show the same asymmetry relationships from limb to limb. If the fold formed during the development of FIA set 3, why was the pattern repeated during the development of FIA set 4, or vice versa. (3) The $\mathrm{S}_{4} / \mathrm{S}_{5}$ matrix differentiation asymmetry matches the fold, yet the fold predates $D_{5}$ because $S_{4}$ horizontally transects it. Similar structural relationships could have occurred throughout the development of FIA sets 3 and 4. (4) The Chester dome (Fig. 3) along the same hinge line predates all porphyroblast growth (unpublished data).

### 7.4. Model of development for the Pomfret dome

We interpret that the fold that became the Pomfret dome formed prior to the commencement of porphyroblast growth and was modified by a long succession of events that generally were overall approximately coaxial. It appears to be relatively young because the pervasive matrix schistosity, which postdates most porphyroblasts, is folded together with $S_{0}$. However, reactivation of pre-existing foliations, particularly bedding, generally unfolds parasitic folds, decrenulates developing crenulations and rotates previously developed cleavage into parallelism with $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ (Fig. 2d; Bell, 1986). Reactivation involves shear on $S_{0}$ that is antithetic to shear on the newly developing foliation (Fig. 2a) and occurs during deformation partitioning where anastomosing synthetic axial plane shear (Fig. 2b) switches to shear along the


Fig. 16. Where the asymmetry of curvature of $S_{n}$ into $S_{n+1}$ does not change across an upright fold (a), one limb ((b) and (c)) cannot reactivate and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ intensifies until deformation ceases. On the other, $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ reactivates from the commencement of $D_{n+1}$ in some layers preventing crenulation and reducing sites for porphyroblast growth ((d) and (e); see Bell et al., 2003). Where horizontal $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ cuts a vertical fold (f) and the CW asymmetry of $S_{n}$ into $S_{n+1}$ does not change from limb to limb, reactivation of $S_{0}$ occurs locally on the left limb $(\mathrm{g})$ from the start of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$. This causes unfolding of crenulated $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and rotates or destroys newly developing $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{~h})$. On the right limb, $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ cannot reactivate and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ develops (i) and then intensifies ( j ) as the deformation proceeds.
bedding (Fig. 2c). Competency differences between beds partitions deformation along $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ in some layers more than others causing decrenulation of newly developing foliations and the rotation of crenulated foliation into parallelism with
$\mathrm{S}_{0}$ (Fig. 2d; Bell, 1986). Where an upright fold is overprinted by a sub-horizontal foliation, $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ can reactivate on one (Fig. 16f-h) or both (Fig. 15b and d) limbs from the commencement of deformation. In Fig. 16f-j the curvature of $S_{n}$ into $S_{n+1}$ does not change asymmetry across the fold and reactivation of $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ is possible on the left (Fig. 16 g and h ) but not the right (Fig. 16i and j) limb. In Fig. 15b and d the curvature of $S_{3}$ into $S_{4}$ switches asymmetry across the fold in a manner that allows reactivation to occur on both limbs. During $D_{4}$ this resulted in decrenulation of $S_{3}$, the local destruction of developing $S_{4}$, and/or the rotation of relics of $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ into sub-parallelism with $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ around the domal fold (Fig. 15d). $D_{5}$ further rotated any oblique remains of $S_{4}$ towards $S_{0}$ because $S_{5}$ formed axial planar to the dome fold and switches differentiation asymmetry across it (Fig. 15c and e). Reactivation during $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ further decrenulated any remains of $D_{4}$ crenulations and locally $S_{3}$ was reused as an axial plane foliation as shown in Fig. 15e (e.g. Davis and Forde, 1994; Davis, 1995). $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ would have added to the amplitude of the dome fold (Fig. 15c) after the decrease in amplitude that resulted from the effects of gravitational collapse that accompanied $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ and the development of subhorizontal $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ (Fig. 15b; e.g. Bell and Johnson, 1989, 1992).

This succession of events from $D_{3}$ to $D_{5}$ may reflect much of the progressive history of development of foliations in the dome from when it first formed, but particularly during the porphyroblast growth accompanying the development of FIA sets 3 and 4. At various stages in the deformation history the differentiation asymmetry associated with the development of a horizontal foliation would not have changed across the fold hinge, as described above, and one limb of the fold could have reactivated (Fig. 16g and $h$ ) while the other continued to develop a new cleavage (Fig. 16i and j; e.g. Bell et al., 2003). Infrequent preservation of $S_{2}$ suggests that reactivation occurred extensively during $D_{2}$, similar to that in $D_{4}$. Such overprinting would modify the geometry of the dome fold without developing major refolds (see also Adshead-Bell and Bell, 1999; Bell et al., 2003).

## 8. Discussion

### 8.1. Lack of porphyroblast rotation

An external reference frame is needed to quantitatively test all aspects of both rotation and non-rotation models for porphyroblast behaviour during ductile deformation because the latter model invokes the role of gravitational collapse in foliation development (Bell and Johnson, 1989; Aerden, 1994, 2004). Consequently, all FIAs were measured using thin sections oriented relative to the vertical as well as with respect to geographic co-ordinates. The consistency of the trends of the five successive FIA sets across the area, as well as on both limbs of the Pomfret dome (compare Figs. 12-14), indicates that the porphyro-
blasts did not rotate as they formed, or during the modification of the dome that accompanied subsequent ductile deformations. The simple consistent succession of FIAs observed would be impossible if the porphyroblasts had rotated (e.g. Fig. 14).

### 8.2. Fold mechanisms

The precursor structure that eventually became the Pomfret dome may have initiated prior to the growth of porphyroblasts that preserve FIA set 0 . Determining what mechanism operated at that stage of dome development is not possible. However, a very extensive history of deformation, accompanied by multiple phases of foliation formation and porphyroblast growth, postdated this stage of the dome's development. The precursor fold would have been modified in shape by each of these events in a similar manner to that revealed by the rotation of matrix $S_{4}$ from limb to limb. Yet successive sets of FIAs do not change trend from limb to limb. This suggests a solution to the mechanism of folding during each of these events. Traditionally, folding mechanisms have been kinematically divided into four end member models, which, if operating independently, will rotate porphyroblasts (Fig. 17; Williams and Jiang, 1999; Jiang, 2001). They are pure shear, which rotates elliptical porphyroblasts lying oblique to the shortening direction, tangential longitudinal strain, flexural slip or flexural flow and shear folding (e.g. Ramsay, 1967, pp. 392-397; Hobbs et al., 1976, pp. 183-195). These mechanisms vary in how they accommodate strain, resulting in differing fold geometries, microstructures and porphyroblast behaviour (Williams and Jiang, 1999). Jiang (2001) argued that all possible deformation paths leading to fold development could be represented by some combination of these selected end members operating both temporally and spatially and varying from domain to domain during fold development. However, he did not consider the progressive bulk inhomogeneous shortening folding mechanism of Bell and Hickey (1997). Each of the end member fold mechanisms involving pure shear (for non spherical porphyroblasts), tangential longitudinal strain, and flexural slip or flexural flow rotate porphyroblasts in such a way that core FIAs must show a large range of orientations around the Pomfret dome, and, therefore, do not explain the porphyroblast behaviour that we have observed.

### 8.2.1. Combinations of end member fold mechanismsbuckling

Porphyroblast rotation has been modelled by sequential superposition of the end-member fold models (Williams and Jiang, 1999) combined with the mechanical effects of differing competency between folded layers (Jiang, 2001). Since tangential longitudinal strain is considered to have a negligible effect in multilayer folding, a typical combination of end members used involves early coaxial shortening involving pure shear, layer parallel shear by flexural slip or
flexural flow, with coaxial flattening of the fold (pure shear) once a critical limb dip is reached. Relative rotation occurs with respect to $S_{0}$ (the layer undergoing layer parallel shear) and $S_{1}$ (the developing axial plane foliation). Williams and Jiang's (1999) modelling of rotation by these end member models suggested that after initial pure shear shortening, the effect of layer competency on porphyroblast rotation increased during flexural slip or flexural flow folding, but decreased with later pure shear flattening. Jiang (2001) concluded that rotation with respect to the axial plane is small, $<45^{\circ}$, and that, therefore, observations that porphyroblasts appear to have rotated little across a fold hinge are compatible with his theoretical predictions. They concluded that inclusion trails in porphyroblasts preserving greater than $90^{\circ}$ of curvature must have formed by rotation of the porphyroblasts within a shear zone. However, no discrete shear zones or successions of shear zones are preserved at the sample locations around the Pomfret dome (Fig. 5a) that can be used to explain the succession of five FIA trends that we have identified. Furthermore, the theoretical modelling of Williams and Jiang (1999) and Jiang (2001):

1. Only looks at partitioning between layers of different initial competency,
2. Takes no account of partitioning of vorticity between

layers and cleavage domains with the growth of porphyroblasts,
3. Is solely based on inclusion trail curvature relative to limb dip for the formation of a single fold,
4. Only considers relative rotation of porphyroblasts with respect to an external foliation.

FIA sets $0-3$ are commonly truncated by the matrix foliation and hence predate it. Consequently, porphyroblast rotation must be considered between the different FIA sets with respect to a reference frame that includes geographical coordinates and the vertical. If their modelling was correct, the succession of five FIA sets recorded in this study represent five different axes of rotation. In any one FIA set, the variation of inclusion trail curvature ranges up to $270^{\circ}$. This curvature represents the finite rotation of porphyroblasts within a layer, and therefore the vorticity history within layers (Jiang, 2001). Some inclusion trails defining core FIAs are surrounded by up to $180^{\circ}$ of inclusion trail curvature defining differently trending median and rim FIAs. Staircase-shaped inclusion trail geometries defining single FIAs are also common. This data cannot be readily explained by a combination of end member fold models.

### 8.2.2. Axial plane shear fold models

Fold models involving a component of axial plane shear



Fig. 17. Schematic diagrams illustrating the key elements of fold mechanisms that would increase the variation of FIA trends as described within the text. (a) Pure shear involves homogeneous coaxial shortening with no rotation relative to geographical co-ordinates. (b) Tangential longitudinal strain where finite strain decreases outward from the fold hinge, and therefore porphyroblast rotation decreases from the inner to the outer arc. (c) Flexural slip and (d) flexural flow where the folded layers experience simple shear parallel to the folded layer resulting in vorticity induced rotation of porphyroblasts that is opposite to spin on the fold limbs.
can preserve the same FIA orientations on both limbs of the Pomfret dome (Fig. 18) because the progressive shearing component of the deformation is partitioned into the cleavage seams and the porphyroblasts lie within the microlithons. In such models, provided the porphyroblasts do not internally deform, they may not rotate. Three types of fold models have been proposed that involve axial plane shear. They include the card deck model (shear folding), where there is no component of bulk shortening, shear combined with homogeneous shortening of the microlithons (clay brick model) and progressive bulk inhomogeneous shortening (PBIS) where progressive shearing and shortening are partitioned heterogeneously through the deforming mass.

The card deck model (Fig. 18a) could preserve preexisting FIA trends if the porphyroblasts lay in undeformed microlithons between zones of shearing (i.e. entirely within the cards; Fig. 18a). The slip is partitioned beyond the microlithons and the porphyroblasts do not rotate. However, this model is precluded because no component of shortening is possible, yet bulk shortening accompanies orogenesis in many tectonic environments.

De Sitter's (1956) clay brick model could preserve preexisting FIA trends if the porphyroblasts lie in the microlithons (the clay bricks) between cleavage seams, along which shear occurs parallel to the axial plane. This model differs from the card deck model in that the microlithons are coaxially shortened during folding (Fig. 18b). However, ellipsoidal porphyroblasts (e.g. staurolite, kyanite and some garnets) lying oblique to the microlithon boundaries would rotate in this model and, therefore, it is
precluded (e.g. Bell and Hickey, 1997; Hickey and Bell, 2001).

Bell's (1981) model of progressive bulk inhomogeneous shortening (PBIS) has porphyroblasts growing within and subsequently controlling the development of zones of progressive shortening. These zones are the microlithons between differentiated crenulation cleavage seams, but are also present in rocks where all such microlithons have been destroyed by reactivation (Bell, 1986). If there is no internal deformation of the porphyroblasts, then they do not rotate because the shearing component of the deformation is partitioned entirely into zones that can deform and which anastomose around the porphyroblasts (Bell and Hickey, 1997). Consequently, zones of progressive non-coaxial shearing strain and volume loss surround zones of essentially coaxial progressive shortening or no strain (Fig. 18c; Bell, 1981). This model requires successive deformation by horizontal compression and vertical collapse to generate the inclusion trail geometries found within the rocks described herein (Bell and Johnson, 1989; Aerden, 1994, 1995). Such a model requires a more complicated deformation history for the development of many regional scale folds. Where old folds are preserved, they will have been opened or tightened by many successive events (Adshead-Bell and Bell, 1999; Hickey and Bell, 2001; Bell et al., 2003).

### 8.3. Reactivation and reuse of foliations during folding

During folding by progressive bulk inhomogeneous shortening, rigid porphyroblasts are displaced but not


Fig. 18. Schematic diagrams illustrating the key elements of fold mechanisms that would maintain FIA orientations as discussed within the text. (a) Slip folding (card deck model). (b) Clay brick model of De Sitter (1956). (c) Progressive bulk inhomogeneous shortening (PBIS).
rotated as progressive shearing occurs sub-parallel to the axial plane (Fig. 2a and b) or along reactivated bedding (Fig. 2a and c). Because of the early development of the fold that became the Pomfret dome, reactivation of one or both of the limbs of this fold was possible from the commencement of all subsequent deformation events, independent of whether these events involved horizontal shortening (Figs. 15a,c,e and 16a-e) or vertically directed gravitational collapse and spreading (Figs. 15b,d and $16 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{j}$ ). For example, $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ did not develop a strong foliation, and only locally formed a differentiated crenulation cleavage, $\mathrm{S}_{5}$, suggesting this event was weakly developed relative to $\mathrm{D}_{4}$. However, this deformation was accompanied by reactivation of $S_{0}$ on the limbs of the dome and decrenulation (Fig. 15c and e), rather than continued $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ development (Bell, 1986) making $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ appear a weaker event than it actually was. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ also involved strong reactivation of the folded foliation, and because $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ lay closer to the overall form surface of the dome, $D_{4}$ appears to be a stronger event than $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ without this necessarily being the case. The prematrix history found within porphyroblasts is similar to that found in the matrix. No single deformation event after the dome first formed was strong enough to disrupt its geometry as currently exposed. Consequently, throughout the domes history, as each new crenulation cleavage began to develop in response to the most recent orthogonal switch in the direction of bulk shortening, any foliation lying oblique to bedding that had formed in the previous deformation, or which still remained relatively unscathed from even earlier deformations, was decrenulated on at least one limb of the dome because of reactivation of the bedding (Figs. 15d,e and $16 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$; see fig. $13 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ in Bell et al., 2003). Decrenulation destroys newly developing crenulation cleavages plus rotates any non-destroyed relics of it towards the bedding as shown in Figs. 2d and 15d,e and 16d,e,g,h. Decrenulation also rotates any remains of earlier formed foliations towards parallelism with bedding as shown in Fig. 16 c and d . Thus foliations oblique to bedding tend to only survive the effects of two or three younger deformations if they were not trapped within porphyroblasts. The youngest matrix foliations survive because the ductility of the rock mass rapidly decreases as it is lifted up through the orogenic pile.

### 8.4. Formation of spiral inclusion trails during folding rather than during shearing

Williams and Jiang (1999) argued that spiral-shaped inclusion trails could only develop within a shear zone as curvature of inclusion trails greater than $90^{\circ}$ could not form during folding. They ignored the cumulative effect of successive deformations (Stallard and Hickey, 2001; Bell and Chen, 2002). Superb spiral-shaped inclusion trails have formed throughout the Pomfret dome region during multiple successions of events that on the bulk scale of the dome were both coaxial and non-coaxial. Both asymmetries are common (Fig. 11). This history creates an overwhelming
problem for the Williams and Jiang (1999) concept of spiral inclusion trail development because this dome predated most if not all of the porphyroblast growth, yet has been preserved while successive generations of spiral shaped trails formed. There are five different generations of spiral shaped inclusion trails in these rocks that get progressively younger in age. According to the Williams and Jiang concept, sufficient porphyroblast rotation to explain the $120-270^{\circ}$ of apparent curvature of inclusion trails defining any one of the FIA sets present in these rocks, requires the development of a long lived shear zone that post-dated dome development. In fact their model requires a succession of at least five shear zones, each producing $120-270^{\circ}$ of apparent curvature of inclusion trails to explain the succession and progressively younger ages of the FIA trends (Bell and Welch, 2002). Each shear zone would have cut through and displaced portions of the dome. These shear zones should have been folded, cut and displaced by successive younger shear zones formed during the development of subsequently generated FIA sets. There is no evidence for such a history preserved in these rocks. The dome is a relatively simple structure and these dome truncating shear zones are not present. Although this cannot be explained by Williams and Jiang's (1999) model for spiral inclusion trail development, it can be readily explained by Bell and Johnson's (1989) model.
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